

TITLE: Lesufi to institute Committee of Inquiry into Joburg CBD building fire

AUTHOR: Lebo Tshangela

PUBLISHED DATE: 1 September 2023, 06:10 [SAST]

SOURCE: SABC News

URL: <https://www.sabcnews.com/sabcnews/lesufi-to-institute-committee-of-inquiry-into-joburg-cbd-building-fire>

Article Summary

Gauteng Premier Panyaza Lesufi plans to establish a Committee of Inquiry to investigate the cause of a fire that occurred in a hijacked building in Johannesburg's CBD. The fire resulted in the deaths of more than 70 people, including 12 children. The inquiry will also assess the prevalence of hijacked buildings in the city and assign responsibility for the incident.

Forensic experts are working to determine the cause of the fire, while the scene remains sealed off. JMPD officers are stationed around the building to prevent unauthorized access. Injured residents are receiving treatment in various hospitals, and the deceased have been taken to a mortuary for identification. Survivors of the fire are being accommodated in temporary shelters in the city.

Article Analysis

1. Does the article give a political economy contextual analysis of the event?

The article does not provide a political economy contextual analysis of the event. It primarily focuses on the plans to institute a Committee of Inquiry into the fire and the current situation at the scene.

2. What according to the article, is/are the root cause/s of the event?

According to the article, the root cause of the event is the fire that broke out in the hijacked five-storey building in Marshalltown.

3. Does the article present evidence of complicity between Johannesburg councillor representatives and organised criminal syndicates that took over the control of the building?

The article does not present evidence of complicity between Johannesburg councillor representatives and organised criminal syndicates that took over the control of the building.

4. Does the article present evidence of NGOs (like SERI) engaging with organised criminal syndicates that took over the control of the building, on behalf of and in the interests of their clients (i.e. the tenants)?

The article does not present evidence of NGOs like SERI engaging with organised criminal syndicates that took over the control of the building on behalf of the tenants.

5. Does the article present potential solutions to the occupation by tenants of a hijacked building that has degenerated into a slum?

The article does not present potential solutions to the occupation of the hijacked building by tenants that has degenerated into a slum.

6. Does the article emphasise the conflictual relationship between city officials and the NGO SERI (and other NGOs) regarding different interpretations of the rights of tenants in terms of the law and specifically in terms of the PIE Act?

The article does not emphasize any conflictual relationship between city officials and the NGO SERI regarding different interpretations of the rights of tenants in terms of the law and the PIE Act.

Critical Analysis

Overall, the article seems to present the information in a relatively objective manner. However, there are a few instances of potential bias or subjective language:

1. The author describes the fire as "devastating" and one of the "worst catastrophes". While these words accurately convey the severity of the event, they might evoke emotional responses from readers and potentially influence their perception of the incident.
2. The author mentions that the building in question was "hijacked". This term carries a negative connotation and suggests illegal or unauthorized occupancy. It would be important to clarify the legal status of the building to avoid implying blame or prejudice.
3. The author states that the Gauteng Premier plans to institute a Committee of Inquiry to determine the cause of the fire and who should shoulder the blame. The use of the word "blame" suggests that someone is at fault and could imply a biased perspective before the investigation has even begun.
4. The article briefly mentions that the survivors of the fire are being housed in temporary shelters across the city. While this is a factual statement, it could be expanded upon to provide more context and a fuller picture of the situation.

Overall, the article appears to present the main facts of the incident but may benefit from more in-depth reporting and examination of different perspectives to ensure impartiality.

Contradiction Analysis

One possible contradiction in the article is that the Gauteng Premier Panyaza Lesufi plans to institute a Committee of Inquiry into the cause of the fire and the prevalence of hijacked buildings, but it is not mentioned how or when this inquiry will take place. This lack of information creates a contradiction between the intention to conduct the inquiry and the absence of details about it.

Additionally, the article states that forensic experts are expected to continue their work to determine the cause of the fire, suggesting that the cause has not yet been determined. However, later in the article, it is mentioned that the survivors of the fire are being housed in temporary shelters, which implies that the situation is under control and the cause of the fire has already been established.

These contradictions raise questions about the clarity and consistency of the information provided in the article.