

TITLE: Commission of Inquiry into Marshalltown fire given 6 months to submit report

AUTHOR: Thabiso Malesele

PUBLISHED DATE: 13 September 2023, 13:16 [SAST]

SOURCE: SABC News

URL: <https://www.sabcnews.com/sabcnews/commission-of-inquiry-into-marshalltown-fire-given-6-months-to-submit-report>

Article Summary

The newly appointed Commission of Inquiry into the Marshalltown fire tragedy in Gauteng, South Africa has been given six months to submit a report on the possible causes of the fire. The fire, which occurred last month, resulted in the death of 77 people and injured over 50 others. The Commission will be headed by retired Constitutional Court Judge, Justice Sisi Khampepe, and will begin its work on the 1st of next month.

Gauteng Premier Panyaza Lesufi emphasized that the aim of the inquiry is to investigate all potential factors and ensure a comprehensive report is produced to prevent similar incidents in the future. Additionally, government officials have visited the affected residents and provided temporary accommodation.

Article Analysis

1. Does the article give a political economy contextual analysis of the event?

No, the article does not provide a political economy contextual analysis of the event. It mainly focuses on the establishment of a Commission of Inquiry and the efforts to investigate the causes of the fire tragedy.

2. What according to the article, is/are the root cause/s of the event?

The article does not explicitly state the root causes of the event. It mentions that the Commission of Inquiry will investigate all potential factors that contributed to the fire.

3. Does the article present evidence of complicity between Johannesburg councillor representatives and organised criminal syndicates that took over the control of the building?

The article does not present evidence of complicity between Johannesburg councillor representatives and organized criminal syndicates. It does not mention any connection between the councillors and the criminal syndicates who took control of the building.

4. Does the article present evidence of NGOs (like SERI) engaging with organised criminal syndicates that took over the control of the building, on behalf of and in the interests of their clients (I.e. the tenants)?

The article does not present evidence of NGOs like SERI engaging with organized criminal syndicates. It does not mention any involvement of NGOs in relation to the criminal syndicates who took control of the building.

5. Does the article present potential solutions to the occupation by tenants of a hijacked building that has degenerated into a slum?

The article does not present specific potential solutions to the occupation by tenants of a hijacked building. It only mentions that the City of Johannesburg has provided temporary accommodation for the victims of the fire.

6. Does the article emphasise the conflictual relationship between city officials and the NGO SERI (and other NGOs) regarding different interpretations of the rights of tenants in terms of the law and specifically in terms of the PIE Act?

The article does not emphasize any conflictual relationship between city officials and NGOs like SERI. It does not mention any specific disputes or differences in interpretations of tenant's rights between the two parties.

Critical Analysis

Overall, the news article provides a relatively objective account of the Marshalltown fire tragedy and the establishment of a Commission of Inquiry to investigate its causes. However, there are a few instances of subjective language and potential biases that are worth noting:

1. The author includes a direct quote from Gauteng Premier Panyaza Lesufi, where he states, "We don't want political representation". This quote suggests that political representation in the Commission of Inquiry is undesirable without providing any further context or explanation.
2. The author describes the purpose of the Commission of Inquiry as "to help investigation all potential factors" and "to mitigate the chances of something similar happening again". While these statements may reflect the intentions behind the establishment of the Commission, they could also be seen as subjective language, as they present the investigation as a solution to future incidents without acknowledging that the causes of the fire are still unknown.
3. The article briefly mentions that the Gauteng MEC of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs, Mzi Khumalo, visited the fire survivors and donated supplies. This information seems to be included to highlight the government's response and support for the victims, which introduces a potential bias in favor of the government's actions.

4. The article concludes by stating that the City of Johannesburg has provided temporary accommodation for the victims. While this is a factual statement, it could suggest that the government has adequately addressed the needs of the victims, potentially downplaying any potential shortcomings in their response.

Overall, the article maintains a fairly neutral tone but includes a few instances of subjective language and potential biases that could be worth addressing for a more balanced presentation of the news.

Contradiction Analysis

One possible contradiction in the news article is the statement made by Gauteng Premier Panyaza Lesufi that the Commission of Inquiry has been given six months to submit a report on all possible causes of the fire, while also stating that the Commission will begin its work on the 1st of next month. This suggests that the Commission is expected to complete its investigation and submit the report within a month, which contradicts the initial statement of having six months to do so.